



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,801	01/22/2001	Frank Meyer-Guldner	F1002.12037US03	3749
97149	7590	01/30/2012		
Maschoff Gilmore & Israelsen 1441 W. Ute Blvd., Suite 100 Park City, UT 84098-7633				
EXAMINER				
WOOD, KEVIN S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2874				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/30/2012		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@mgiiip.com
info@mgiiip.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/767,801	Applicant(s) MEYER-GULDNER ET AL.	
	Examiner KEVIN S. WOOD	Art Unit 2874	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) UYEN-CHAU N. LE (3) _____
 (2) JOHN T. GADD (4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 January 2012

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

A Notice of Non-compliant was issued on 07/28/2011 informing the applicant that the Amendment filed 05/09/2011 was non-compliant for having markings for all pending claims as though they have been amended. However, upon reconsideration, the Amendment filed 05/09/2011 is deemed in compliance with 37 CFR 1.173(b), which sets forth the manner of making amendments in reissue applications. Accordingly, the Notice of Non-compliant of 07/28/2011 is hereby withdrawn and an Office action in response to the Amendment filed 05/09/2011 will be issued in due course. No further action from the applicant is required until an Office action is issued. Inconvenience to the applicant is regretted.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/UYEN-CHAU N. LE/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2874